

Research



Cite this article: Houstin A, Zitterbart DP, Heerah K, Eisen O, Planas-Bielsa V, Fabry B, Le Bohec C. 2022 Juvenile emperor penguin range calls for extended conservation measures in the Southern Ocean. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* **9**: 211708.
<https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.211708>

Received: 29 October 2021

Accepted: 8 August 2022

Subject Category:

Ecology, conservation and global change biology

Subject Areas:

ecology

Keywords:

conservation biology, MPA network, polar regions, early life, seabirds

Authors for correspondence:

Aymeric Houstin

e-mail: houstina@gmail.com

Céline Le Bohec

e-mail: celine.lebohec@iphc.cnrs.fr

Electronic supplementary material is available online at <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.6158509>.

Juvenile emperor penguin range calls for extended conservation measures in the Southern Ocean

Aymeric Houstin^{1,2}, Daniel P. Zitterbart^{3,4},
Karine Heerah⁵, Olaf Eisen^{6,7}, Víctor Planas-Bielsa¹,
Ben Fabry³ and Céline Le Bohec^{1,2}

¹Centre Scientifique de Monaco, Département de Biologie Polaire, Monaco 98000, Principality of Monaco

²Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg F-67000, France

³Department of Physics, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen 91054, Germany

⁴Applied Ocean Physics and Engineering Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA

⁵Zoophysiology, Department of Biology, Aarhus University, Aarhus C 8000, Denmark

⁶Alfred-Wegener-Institut, Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Bremerhaven 27570, Germany

⁷Fachbereich Geowissenschaften, Universität Bremen, Bremen 28359, Germany

id AH, 0000-0001-8676-819X; DPZ, 0000-0001-9429-4350;
CLB, 0000-0003-0149-6477

To protect the unique and rich biodiversity of the Southern Ocean, conservation measures such as marine protected areas (MPAs) have been implemented. Currently, the establishment of several additional protection zones is being considered based on the known habitat distributions of key species of the ecosystems including emperor penguins and other marine top predators. However, the distribution of such species at sea is often insufficiently sampled. Specifically, current distribution models focus on the habitat range of adult animals and neglect that immatures and juveniles can inhabit different areas. By tracking eight juvenile emperor penguins in the Weddell Sea over 1 year and performing a meta-analysis including previously known data from other colonies, we show that conservation efforts in the Southern Ocean are insufficient for protecting this highly mobile species, and particularly its juveniles. We find that juveniles spend approximately 90% of their time outside the boundaries of proposed and existing MPAs, and that their distribution extends beyond (greater than 1500 km) the species' extent of occurrence as defined by the International Union for Conservation of Nature. Our data exemplify that

1. Introduction

Anthropogenic environmental changes lead to upheaval even in remote and apparently untouched ecosystems such as the Antarctic and the Southern Ocean. Marine top predators, such as seabirds and marine mammals, play a pivotal role in marine ecosystems [1], and any disruptions in their abundance and distribution can have a major impact on the functioning and resilience of ecosystems [2]. At the same time, top predators are indicators of ecosystem health because of their high position in the trophic cascade and the vast, ocean basin-scale habitat of individual animals [3,4]. Thus, top predators integrate signals from across the food web and are therefore important bioindicators [5]. The health, abundance and distribution of marine top predators are consequently key metrics in ecosystem-based management and systematic conservation planning [6].

Effective conservation plans require comprehensive consideration of the at-sea distribution of species, including each life-history stage such as juveniles and immatures as they constitute an essential part of the total population [7]. However, in some of these taxa, in particular in many seabird species, the distribution of juveniles and immatures is difficult to assess and is therefore often neglected. This is especially true for polar ecosystems, where remoteness and the extreme environmental conditions hamper data collection.

Currently, the Southern Ocean is experiencing significant impacts owing to global change [8,9]. Measurable negative effects on wildlife have already occurred, such as population decreases of numerous seabird species [10,11], including the complete loss of emperor penguin (*Aptenodytes forsteri*) colonies [12,13]. The vanishing of these colonies has been attributed to strong El Niño events, rise in local mean annual air temperature, strong winds and/or decline in seasonal sea ice duration. Climate change is also expected to result in human access to new ice-free fishing areas [14], whereby seabirds and marine mammals will have to compete for food with industrial fisheries and may even become by-catch [15]. The accumulation of anthropogenic pressures on these fragile ecosystems urgently requires effective protection [16].

The Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR) is the governing body in charge of conservation issues in the Southern Ocean. CCAMLR's mandate includes the implementation of conservation measures, such as the establishment of marine protected areas (MPAs) and the regulation of the fishing industry, through quota allocations and gear limitations [17]. Within the CCAMLR, conservation measures are based on the best scientific data available, including the distribution and demography of marine predators [18,19]. Similarly, the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN)'s Red List of Threatened Species depicts the extent of occurrence (EOO) of each species, i.e. all the known, inferred or projected sites of present occurrence of the species' adults excluding cases of vagrancy [20]. Such knowledge serves then as a reference for policy making on the implementation of conservation measures. Consequently, providing novel data, in areas that have never been surveyed or on data-deficient population classes like juveniles enhances the conservation governance perspective for a species and its habitat.

Currently, 12% of the waters inside the CCAMLR boundaries have additional protection, with only 4.6% as no-take areas. This includes the Ross Sea and waters around the South Orkney Islands [16]. Since 2002, the CCAMLR has been working on establishing a network of MPAs around Antarctica, but the implementation of three new MPAs in east Antarctica [21], the Weddell Sea [22] and at the Antarctic Peninsula [23] has been difficult, proposals being under intense negotiations since 2010, 2013 and 2017, respectively [24,25]. However, even if implemented, the new MPAs would protect only 22% of the Southern Ocean inside the CCAMLR boundaries [16], which is significantly less than the IUCN recommended protection target of 30% of each marine habitat [26]. Furthermore, assessments and recommendations are based on limited and incomplete data. For instance, in the Weddell Sea, home to one-third of the global emperor penguin population [27], no tracking studies have been conducted so far; thus, very little is known about the penguins' at-sea distribution in this area.

The emperor penguin is considered an iconic and ecologically important species of Antarctica. Its colony sites and at-sea movements have been the basis of previous discussions of conservation priorities, either in terms of MPAs [4], important bird areas [28,29] or areas of ecological significance [30]. With a population currently estimated at *ca* 270 000 breeding pairs in 61 known colonies around the continent [27], the species is severely threatened by global warming and expanding fishing




Figure 1. Overlap between existing and planned conservation zones and the distribution of juvenile emperor penguins tracked to date in the Southern Ocean. Distribution areas of juveniles are indicated by coloured polygons. MPAs: marine protected areas; CCAMLR: Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources; IUCN-EOO: International Union for Conservation of Nature Extent of Occurrence (i.e. the EOO of the species considered by the IUCN [36]).

activities in the Southern Ocean [15,31], facing the risk to be nearly extinct within this century [32]. The most effective actions to protect the emperor penguin from anthropogenic impacts would be a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions [31,32] as well as the establishment of MPAs throughout its habitat range [31]. Long-lived seabirds, emperor penguins reach sexual maturity between 4 and 8 years [33,34]. However, little is known about the first years at sea of the species, even though the survival of this age class referred as 'juvenile' is crucial for the viability of the global population [33,35]. To date, a total of only 48 juvenile emperor penguins have been tracked. Moreover, tracking has been done only in the Ross Sea and east Antarctica (table 1), even though for the designation of MPAs, it is fundamental to know their distribution at the circum-Antarctic scale [4,6,7].

Table 1. Tracking studies of juvenile emperor penguins at sea. (Colony details (location and size), tracking survey metrics (duration, distance and distribution) and proportion of the distribution area of tracked juveniles for each colony falling within the main oceanographic features (ACC and SO-TL) and conservation-related areas (IUCN, CCAMLR and MPAs) of the Southern Ocean. Single asterisk (*) means number of breeding pairs [27]. Double asterisk (**) means proportion of the distribution area falling within the mentioned feature. ACC: Antarctic Circumpolar Current; SO-TL: Southern Ocean Treaty Limits (i.e. at the parallel of 60° S as defined in the Antarctic Treaty); CCAMLR: Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources; IUCN-E00: International Union for Conservation of Nature Extent of Occurrence (i.e. the E00 of the species considered by the IUCN [36]; MPAs: marine protected areas.)

colony	colony coordinates	colony population estimate*	no. birds tracked	mean tracking duration (days)	maximal distance from colony (km)	northernmost latitude reached	distribution area (millions km ²)	%** in ACC area	%** in SO - TL	%** in IUCN - E00	%** in CCAMLR area	%** in MPAs	publication
Cape Washington	74.58° S, 165.48° E	11 808	10	64	2845	56.9° S	1.7	54.6	73.5	14.6	73.5	4.4	Kooyman <i>et al.</i> [37]
Pointe Géologie	66.66° S, 140.00° E	2456	21	171	3503	53.76° S	3.6	75.9	62.7	35.8	98.0	13.5	Labrousse <i>et al.</i> [38]; Thiebot <i>et al.</i> [39]
Auster	67.38° S, 64.03° E	7855	10	121	2343	56.25° S	3.3	60.7	79.1	61.4	100	6.7	Wienecke <i>et al.</i> [40]
Taylor Glacier	67.47° S, 60.88° E	519	7	113	1570	54.23° S	1.7	87.3	67.7	43.4	100	9.3	
Atka Bay	70.62° S, 08.15° W	9657	8	221	2474	48.37° S	5.1	19.3	60.7	51.4	99.2	16.3	this study
mean	/	6459	11.2	138	2547	/	3.1	59.6	68.7	41.3	94.1	10.0	/
s.d.		4798	5.6	59.9	707.9		1.4	25.9	7.6	17.7	11.6	4.9	




Figure 2. Proportion of time that the eight tagged juvenile emperor penguins from the Atka Bay colony spent either inside or outside the main conservation-related areas (WSMPA, IUCN-EOO) and oceanographic features (SO-TL, ACC) of the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean. For the ACC feature, inside refers to north of the southern boundary, while for WSMPA, IUCN-EOO and SO-TL, inside refers to their southern boundary, i.e. between their maritime boundary and the Antarctic continent. Daily average across all individuals computed over hourly data points. WSMPA: Weddell Sea marine protected area; IUCN-EOO: International Union for Conservation of Nature Extent of Occurrence (i.e. the EOO of the species considered by the IUCN [36]; SO-TL: Southern Ocean Treaty Limits (i.e. at the parallel of 60° S as defined in the Antarctic Treaty); ACC: Antarctic Circumpolar Current.

The aim of this study was to bridge this gap in knowledge by equipping six-month-old emperor penguin chicks with ARGOS satellite platforms that transmit the birds' locations several times each day. Birds were tagged before their initial departure from their colony of origin at Atka Bay (70°37' S, 08°09' W) near the south eastern limit of the Weddell Sea (figure 1). We recorded their journey during their first year at sea (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, table S1). To assess the habitat range used by the juvenile emperor penguins at the scale of the Southern Ocean, we incorporated the distribution of all previously tracked juvenile emperor penguins into our analysis (figure 1; [37–40]).

2. Results

The tracking data from our study show that juvenile emperor penguins travelled north of 50° S (the lowest recorded latitude was 48.37° S), which is 600 km further north than previously recorded (table 1). Two of the eight tagged birds reached the South Sandwich Islands region in winter (late June until at least July) before their ARGOS platforms stopped transmitting. Thus, with three of the eight birds reaching sub-Antarctic areas, we can expect the presence of juvenile emperor penguins in these waters to be common rather than unusual. All tagged juveniles reached the southern boundary of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC), and five of the tagged birds remained in the ACC, i.e. between the southern ACC boundary and the Antarctic Polar Front, for prolonged time periods (greater than 46 days). One bird travelled north of the Polar Front [37,38]. The penguin tracks over a full year (polygon encompassing the area covered by the tracks; electronic supplementary material, figure S1) covered an area of 5.1 million km² (figures 1 and 2, table 1), nearly 1.4 times larger than the largest previously reported distribution of juvenile emperor penguins from their colony of origin (table 1).

Juvenile emperor penguins from the Weddell Sea area had a seasonal travel pattern similar to that of those tracked in other sectors of the Southern Ocean [37–40]. After leaving their colony, juveniles migrated northward towards and into the ACC where they remained for 37 ± 24 days. Juvenile emperor penguins commonly ranged outside the limits of the Southern Ocean (i.e. the parallel of 60° S as defined by the Antarctic Treaty, hereafter referred to as SO-Treaty); some birds travelled outside the CCAMLR boundaries (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, table S1). Over the course of April, juveniles migrated southward towards the pack ice to spend the winter (electronic supplementary material, figure S2).

Like in all other studies in which some fledglings have also been tracked long enough to record their northernmost extent [37–40], juvenile emperor penguins from the Weddell Sea area also swim all the way to the border of the Polar Front, even if the Polar Front is much further north than in east Antarctica and the Ross Sea. The extent of the north-south gradient appears to be the main factor driving the size of the distribution area (see Material and Methods). We assume that even if the duration of tracking was similar across studies, the absolute values of the distribution areas would differ but not their relative values.

In our study, juvenile emperor penguins spent only $51.1 \pm 13.3\%$ of their time inside the IUCN-EOO range of the species, which is based on the estimated adult distribution (electronic supplementary material, figure S2). Moreover, the time spent inside the area varied significantly across months ($p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$; figure 2). In August (winter), all penguins were outside and travelled up to 1260 km north of the IUCN-EOO, whereas they were mostly inside in January and May. When considering the data from all studies [37–40], $41.3 \pm 17.7\%$ of the observed distribution areas of juvenile emperor penguins fell within the IUCN-EOO (table 1). Juveniles from the Cape Washington colony in the Ross Sea travelled up to 1500 km outside the IUCN-EOO (table 1).

Taken together, the EOO of emperor penguins defined by the IUCN is underestimating the current habitat range of the species. Existing and planned MPAs cover on average only $10.0 \pm 4.9\%$ of the estimated distribution areas (table 1). Regarding the time spent inside protected areas, juvenile emperor penguins from the Atka Bay colony, which is located inside the proposed Weddell Sea MPA (WSMPA, the largest currently proposed MPA in the Southern Ocean), left the MPA's boundaries after 9 ± 4 days in January and remained only $10.6 \pm 7.5\%$ of their time inside the boundaries (figure 2). Only during summer (January and December) did the juveniles spend a considerable amount of time inside the WSMPA ($47.9 \pm 23.8\%$ and $31.1 \pm 13.4\%$, respectively). All tagged penguins were outside the WSMPA's boundaries in February and from July to November (figure 2).

3. Discussion

We find that juveniles travel beyond the boundaries of existing and planned conservation and management areas, demonstrating that conservation efforts in the Southern Ocean are insufficient to protect juvenile emperor penguins. Penguins are considered ecologically important species of the Southern Ocean's ecosystem [41]. Monitoring their population trend and distribution is therefore essential for biodiversity conservation. The common approach for designating boundaries of MPAs focuses on protecting the breeding segment of populations [42]. We argue that this might not be sufficient for species for which juvenile and adult ranges do not overlap, and we point out that the habitat range of juvenile penguins also requires a high level of protection. Indeed, juveniles are more vulnerable than adults as their foraging skills (including their ability to dive, to capture prey and to find productive feeding grounds) are not yet fully developed, and their experience to escape predators is minimal [43]. Moreover, juvenile survival can have a critical impact on the population dynamics, especially in long-lived species [44] as it is increasingly evidenced on various taxa (see [35,45–47]). Emperor penguins start breeding earliest at age 4–5 years, lay only one egg per pair and year, and only have an annual chance of 55% to bring a chick to fledging [32]. This low fecundity, projected to decrease under future warming scenarios [32,48], makes the survival of immature individuals, which represent about one quarter of the total population [33], particularly critical for the recruitment into breeding populations and thus the species' viability [49]. Moreover, in contrast with adults, the dispersal behaviour of juveniles is one of the main processes by which long-lived species will be able to adapt to the ongoing rapid environmental change. A vast travel range allows them to explore possible alternative feeding and breeding grounds [50]. In long-lived species, adult survival is the primary parameter influencing the population growth rate. However, reproduction can also significantly drive population dynamics and species with high adult survival can still have a declining population owing to an insufficient recruitment rate (i.e. juvenile survival to adulthood), see [35,45–47]. Therefore, for successful conservation, we need to consider the habitat range of all age classes.

Our findings reveal that juveniles commonly spent a substantial amount of time outside the species' IUCN-EOO and outside the limits of existing or planned MPAs in the Southern Ocean (figure 1 and table 1; electronic supplementary material, table S1). Consequently, if protection measures were based solely on the current IUCN-EOO of the species, as it stands, given its focus on adult occurrences owing to the insufficient data for juveniles, this could lead to inefficient decisions for the future protection of the species. Furthermore, all studies including ours have reported that juveniles visit the highly productive ACC area during their first journey at sea, where the Antarctic Polar Front appears

to act as an ecological barrier. During the most vulnerable stage of their life, the penguins' dispersive behaviour leads them outside the SO-Treaty and CCAMLR limits into waters where they are likely to encounter and compete with fisheries (see [29,51] for current data on fisheries activity). In addition, overlap with fisheries in the Weddell Sea region might occur in forthcoming decades and increase the threat to emperor penguins according to the projected long-term effects of climate change on krill population migration [24,52]. In accordance with the CCAMLR's ecosystem-based fisheries management approach, the presence of this critical fragment of the emperor penguin population should be considered by the CCAMLR when allocating fishing quotas and zones; especially in the current context where several CCAMLR fishing states are lobbying for an increase of the spatial and temporal distribution of catches and fisheries [53].

A growing body of evidence indicates the ongoing threats to penguins. Trathan *et al.* [31] recently advocated for a reclassification of the emperor penguin on the IUCN Red List from the current 'Near Threatened' status to 'Vulnerable' or 'Endangered', together with the classification as an 'Antarctic Specially Protected Species' by the Antarctic Treaty. Our data support this call for better protection by also pointing out the need to include all age classes and age-specific threats into the classification assessment [4,7].

Indeed, ignoring young age classes, their higher vulnerability, and the critical impact of immature survival and recruitment parameters on the population dynamics, would lead to biased estimates of overlap with threats and may misdirect management and conservation efforts. However, owing to the limited datasets in space (individuals of 8% of the colonies have been tracked for now) and time (no multi-year datasets except for Pointe Géologie colony), additional monitoring studies on juvenile emperor penguins need to be conducted to improve their surveillance. Yet, the consistency in their behaviour despite the diversity of environments tracked birds belong to, allow speculation that juvenile emperor penguins make use of a large part of the offshore Antarctic Convergence area at the circumpolar scale, where they also overlap with juvenile king penguins (*Aptenodytes patagonicus*) [54]. Including widely ignored juvenile tracking data of these Southern Ocean sentinels into synthetic approaches to designate high priority conservation areas [4] will enhance the identification and delineation of conservation hotspots. This is essential to the creation of a well-designed network of ecological areas [55] that would provide a more robust protection to the Southern Ocean ecosystem [16].

4. Material and methods

4.1. Study site and instrumentation

Our study was conducted at the Atka Bay emperor penguin colony (70°37' S, 08°09' W) near (approx. 10 km) the German Antarctic research base 'Neumayer Station III'. In January 2019, we equipped eight 6-month-old chick emperor penguins with satellite communicating SPOT-367 ARGOS platforms (Wildlife Computers, Redmond, WA 98052, USA) [56]. The ARGOS platforms were programmed to transmit their identification every day at 4.00, 6.00, 10.00, 16.00, 19.00 and 21.00, corresponding to time points with optimum ARGOS satellite coverage over the Weddell Sea (ARGOS CLS, Toulouse, France).

To minimize drag, the ARGOS platforms were deployed on the lower back of the birds [57,58]. The streamlined devices were attached to the feather with adhesive tape (Tesa tape 4651, Beiersdorf AG, Hamburg, Germany) and secured with three cable ties (Panduit PLTM1.5M-C0 142*2.6 mm, Panduit Corp, Illinois, USA). We then applied epoxy glue (Loctite EA 3430, Loctite, Henkel AG., Düsseldorf, Germany) on the mounting to increase waterproofing and robustness [59,60].

4.2. Estimation of the at-sea distribution of juvenile emperor penguins from the Atka Bay colony

4.2.1. Location filtering

ARGOS locations are associated with spatial error ellipses. These spatial errors can range from a few hundred meters to several kilometres [61,62]. Erroneous locations were filtered out using a speed filter from the R package '*argos filter*' [63] with the maximum travel speed fixed at 15 km h⁻¹ following similar studies on emperor penguins [38,64].

4.2.2. Interpolation of locations at a regular time step

We used a state-space modelling approach [65] to estimate hourly locations. Specifically, a Kalman filter, which accounted for location error, was applied using the R package ‘*crawl*’ [66], and continuous-time correlated random walk models were used to predict locations at a regular time-step interval of 1 h [65,67].

4.3. Estimation of the colony-specific distribution area for juvenile emperor penguins

In addition to the eight birds tracked in our study, 48 juvenile emperor penguins from four different colonies were previously tracked ([37–40], table 1 for the details on the colonies). Data of these previously acquired bird journeys are available as maps in the respective publications. We georeferenced these tracking maps using the QGIS software. We subsequently plotted the main corner points encompassing the tracks of all birds from each colony (electronic supplementary material, figure S1). We obtained the distribution of juvenile emperor penguins by computing the concave hull envelope for each dataset using the ‘*ConcaveHull*’ plugin [68]. Envelopes from the same colony [38,39] were merged to consider only one polygon per colony (referred to as distribution area), including one for the Atka Bay colony. The size of each distribution area was calculated with the ‘*raster*’ package in R [69] and is reported in table 1. Owing to the significant overlap of Auster and Taylor Glacier juvenile distribution [40] and the proximity (132 km) of the two sites [70], for visualization purposes, the tracks of the birds from Auster and Taylor Glacier colonies are shown in the same polygon in figure 1. However, the distribution areas were computed separately for each colony.

4.4. Ecological features

The locations of the Southern Ocean fronts and the ACC boundaries [71] were downloaded from <https://gis.ccamlr.org> [72].

The bathymetry at 1 min horizontal spatial resolution was obtained from the ETOPO1 Global Relief Model provided by the NOAA National Geophysical Data Center [73].

Sea ice concentrations (ranging from 0 to 100%) were obtained from Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer (AMSR-2) satellite estimates of daily sea ice concentration at 3.125 km resolution from the University of Bremen (<https://seaice.uni-bremen.de/data/amsr2/>) [74]. The sea ice edge contour was defined by the 15% sea ice concentration [75,76] (electronic supplementary material, figure S2).

The maximum and minimum median sea ice extent from 1981 to 2010 presented in the electronic supplementary material, figure S1 and figure S2 were obtained from the National Snow and Ice Data Center NSIDC [77] implemented in the ‘*Quantarctica3*’ package [78] of the QGIS software.

4.5. Conservation-oriented features

The CCAMLR planning domains and existing Antarctic MPAs were obtained from <https://gis.ccamlr.org> [72]. The proposed WSMPA [22] and the proposed east Antarctic MPA boundaries [21] were obtained from www.mpatlas.org [79]. The Domain 1 MPA proposal [23] was drawn from www.mpatlas.org [79]. The South Georgia and South Sandwich Islands MPA and the sub-Antarctic MPAs boundaries were downloaded from www.protectedplanet.net.

The IUCN-EOO of the Emperor penguin species was obtained from www.iucnredlist.org [36].

4.6. Assessing the overlap between bird distribution and conservation-oriented areas

The average residency time that each of the birds equipped in our study spent inside existing or proposed conservation-oriented areas of the Southern Ocean was computed on a daily, weekly or monthly basis, or averaged over the total tracking period.

We tested whether the observed monthly averaged residency time changed significantly over the course of a year using the Kruskal–Wallis rank sum tests. For all tests, the significance threshold was set at $p=0.05$. Statistical analyses were performed using the software R v. 3.5.0 [80] and QGIS v. 2.18.18 [81] with the data package ‘*Quantarctica3*’ [78].

Data accessibility. The dataset generated and analysed during this study is available in the Movebank Data Repository, <https://doi.org/10.5441/001/1.sg2s0f7q> [82]. Data is also available in the electronic supplementary material [83].

Authors' contributions. A.H.: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, visualization, writing—original draft, writing—review and editing; D.P.Z.: funding acquisition, investigation, project administration, supervision, visualization, writing—original draft, writing—review and editing; K.H.: data curation, formal analysis, writing—review and editing; O.E.: investigation, writing—review and editing; V.P.-B.: writing—review and editing; B.F.: investigation, writing—review and editing; C.L.B.: conceptualization, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, project administration, supervision, visualization, writing—original draft, writing—review and editing.

All authors gave final approval for publication and agreed to be held accountable for the work performed therein. Conflict of interest declaration. Authors declare no competing interests.

Funding. This study was funded by the Centre Scientifique de Monaco with additional support from the LIA-647 and RTP-I-NUTRESS (CSM/CNRS/University of Strasbourg), by The Penzance Endowed Fund and The Grayce B. Kerr Fund in Support of Assistant Scientists and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) grant no. ZI1525/3-1 in the framework of the priority program 'Antarctic research with comparative investigations in Arctic ice areas'.

Acknowledgements. We thank the Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung (AWI), Logistics Department, the winterers and campaigners for their invaluable support at the Neumayer Station III [84]. We are very grateful to Profs. Patrick Rampal and Denis Allemand for support in initiating the project. Logistics and field efforts were supported by the AWI within the framework of the program 'Monitor the health of the Antarctic marine ecosystems using the Emperor penguin as a sentinel' (MARE). The long-term project MARE, to which this study belongs, and all procedures were approved by the German Environment Agency (Umweltbundesamt-UBA permit no.: II 2.8-94033/100 delivered on the 4 October 2017 and 4 October 2018), and conducted in accordance with the Committee for Environmental Protection (CEP) guidelines.

References

- Pimiento C, Leprieux F, Silvestro D, Lefcheck JS, Albouy C, Rasher DB, Davis M, Svenning JC, Griffin JN. 2020 Functional diversity of marine megafauna in the Anthropocene. *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eaay7650. (doi:10.1126/sciadv.aay7650)
- Baum JK, Worm B. 2009 Cascading top-down effects of changing oceanic predator abundances. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **78**, 699–714. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2009.01531.x)
- Hazen EL, Abrahms B, Brodie S, Carroll G, Jacox MG, Savoca MS, Scales KL, Sydeman WJ, Bograd SJ. 2019 Marine top predators as climate and ecosystem sentinels. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **17**, 565–574. (doi:10.1002/fee.2125)
- Hindell MA *et al.* 2020 Tracking of marine predators to protect Southern Ocean ecosystems. *Nature* **580**, 87–92. (doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2126-y)
- Trathan PN, Forcada J, Murphy EJ. 2007 Environmental forcing and Southern Ocean marine predator populations: effects of climate change and variability. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **362**, 2351–2365. (Cited 25 July 2020). (doi:10.1098/rstb.2006.1953)
- Hays GC *et al.* 2019 Translating marine animal tracking data into conservation policy and management. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **34**, 459–473. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2019.01.009)
- Carneiro APB *et al.* 2020 A framework for mapping the distribution of seabirds by integrating tracking, demography and phenology. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **57**, 514–525. (doi:10.1111/1365-2664.13568)
- Stark JS, Raymond T, Deppeler SL, Morrison AK. 2019 Antarctic Seas. In *World seas: an environmental evaluation*, 2nd edn (ed. C Sheppard), pp. 1–44. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier.
- Swart NC, Gille ST, Fyfe JC, Gillett NP. 2018 Recent Southern Ocean warming and freshening driven by greenhouse gas emissions and ozone depletion. *Nat. Geosci.* **11**, 836–841. (doi:10.1038/s41561-018-0226-1)
- Trivelpiece WZ, Hinke JT, Miller AK, Reiss CS, Trivelpiece SG, Watters GM. 2011 Variability in krill biomass links harvesting and climate warming to penguin population changes in Antarctica. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 7625–7628. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1016560108)
- Robert-Coudert Y, Kato A, Shiomi K, Barbraud C, Angelier F, Delord K, Poupart T, Koubbi P, Raclot T. 2018 Two recent massive breeding failures in an Adélie penguin colony call for the creation of a Marine Protected Area in D'Urville Sea/Mertz. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **5**, 1–7. (doi:10.3389/fmars.2018.00264)
- Trathan PN, Fretwell PT, Stonehouse B. 2011 First recorded loss of an emperor penguin colony in the recent period of Antarctic regional warming: implications for other colonies. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e14738. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014738)
- Fretwell PT, Trathan PN. 2019 Emperors on thin ice: three years of breeding failure at Halley Bay. *Antarct. Sci.* **31**, 133–138. (doi:10.1017/S0954102019000099)
- Rintoul SR, Chown SL, DeConto RM, England MH, Fricker HA, Masson-Delmotte V, Naish TR, Siegert MJ, Xavier JC. 2018 Choosing the future of Antarctica. *Nature* **558**, 233–241. (doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0173-4)
- Trathan PN *et al.* 2015 Pollution, habitat loss, fishing, and climate change as critical threats to penguins. *Conserv. Biol.* **29**, 31–41. [Cited 2020 Jan 6]. (doi:10.1111/cobi.12349)
- Brooks CM, Chown SL, Douglass LL, Raymond BP, Shaw JD, Sylvester ZT, Torrens CL. 2020 Progress towards a representative network of Southern Ocean protected areas. *PLoS ONE* **15**, e0231361. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0231361)
- CCAMLR. 2020 Schedule of conservation measures in force 2020/21. See <http://mpoc.org.my/malaysian-palm-oil-industry/>.
- CCAMLR. 2009 Resolution 31/XXVIII, Best Best Available Science. 1–2. See <https://cm.ccamlr.org/en/resolution-31/xxviii-2009>.
- Teschke K, Pehlke H, Siegel V, Bornemann H, Knust R, Brey T. 2019 An integrated data compilation for the development of a marine protected area in the Weddell Sea. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discussions* **12**, 1–31. (doi:10.5194/essd-2019-86)
- IUCN. 2012 *IUCN red list categories and criteria: version 3.1*, iv+2, 2nd edn. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. See <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2734>
- CCAMLR. 2019 Proposal to establish an East Antarctic Marine Protected Area - CCAMLR-38/21. See <https://meetings.ccamlr.org/en/ccamlr-38/21>.
- CCAMLR. 2018 European Union proposal to establish the Weddell Sea MPA - CCAMLR-37/29. (CCAMLR-XXXVII/29). See <https://meetings.ccamlr.org/en/ccamlr-xxxvii/29>.
- CCAMLR. 2018 Delegations of Argentina and Chile. Proposal on a conservation measure establishing a marine protected area in the Domain 1 (Western Antarctic Peninsula and South Scotia Arc). CCAMLR 37-31. See <https://meetings.ccamlr.org/en/ccamlr-xxxvii/31>.
- Teschke K, Brtnik P, Hain S, Herata H, Liebschner A, Pehlke H, Brey T. 2021 Planning marine protected areas under the CCAMLR regime – The case of the Weddell Sea (Antarctica). *Mar. Policy* **124**, 104370. (doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2020.104370)
- Sylvester ZT, Brooks CM. 2020 Protecting Antarctica through Co-production of actionable science: lessons from the CCAMLR marine

- protected area process. *Mar. Policy* **111**, 103720. (doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2019.103720)
26. 2014 The promise of Sydney, IUCN World Parks Congress. Sydney, Australia.
 27. Fretwell PT, Trathan PN. 2020 Discovery of new colonies by Sentinel2 reveals good and bad news for emperor penguins. *Remote Sensing Ecol. Conserv.* **7**, 139–153. (doi:10.1002/rse2.176)
 28. Harris CM *et al.* 2015 *Important bird areas in Antarctica 2015*. Cambridge, UK: BirdLife International and Environmental Research & Assessment Ltd.
 29. Handley J *et al.* 2021 Marine important bird and biodiversity areas for penguins in Antarctica, targets for conservation action. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **7**, 602972. (doi:10.3389/fmars.2020.602972)
 30. Hindell MA *et al.* 2011 Foraging habitats of top predators, and areas of ecological significance, on the Kerguelen Plateau. In *The Kerguelen Plateau: marine ecosystem and fisheries* (eds G Duhamel, D Welsford), pp. 203–215. Abberville, France: Société Française d'Ichtyologie.
 31. Trathan PN *et al.* 2020 The emperor penguin – vulnerable to projected rates of warming and sea ice loss. *Biol. Conserv.* **241**, 108216. (doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2019.108216)
 32. Jenouvrier S *et al.* 2019 The Paris Agreement objectives will likely halt future declines of emperor penguins. *Glob. Change Biol.* **26**, 1170–1184. (doi:10.1111/gcb.14864)
 33. Jenouvrier S, Barbraud C, Weimerskirch H. 2005 Long-term contrasted responses to climate of two Antarctic seabird species. *Ecology* **86**, 2889–2903. (doi:10.1890/05-0514)
 34. Jenouvrier S, Holland M, Stroeve J, Serreze M, Barbraud C, Weimerskirch H, Caswell H. 2014 Projected continent-wide declines of the emperor penguin under climate change. *Nat. Clim. Change* **4**, 715–718. (doi:10.1038/nclimate2280)
 35. Warret Rodrigues C, Angin B, Besnard A. 2021 Favoring recruitment as a conservation strategy to improve the resilience of long-lived reptile populations: insights from a population viability analysis. *Ecol. Evol.* **11**, 13 068–13 080. (doi:10.1002/ece3.8021)
 36. IUCN. 2020 The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2020-1. See www.iucnredlist.org.
 37. Kooyman GL, Ponganis PJ. 2007 The initial journey of juvenile emperor penguins. *Aquat. Conserv.* **17**(S1), S37–S43. (doi:10.1002/aqc.930)
 38. Labrousse S, Orgeret F, Solow A, Barbraud C, Bost C, Sallée J, Weimerskirch H, Jenouvrier S. 2019 First odyssey beneath the sea ice of juvenile emperor penguins in East Antarctica. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **609**, 1–16. (doi:10.3354/meps12831)
 39. Thiebot J-B, Lescroël A, Barbraud C, Bost CA. 2013 Three-dimensional use of marine habitats by juvenile emperor penguins *Aptenodytes forsteri* during post-natal dispersal. *Antarct. Sci.* **25**, 536–544. (doi:10.1017/S0954102012001198)
 40. Wienecke B, Raymond B, Robertson G. 2010 Maiden journey of fledgling emperor penguins from the Mawson Coast, East Antarctica. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **410**, 269–282. (doi:10.3354/meps08629)
 41. Le Bohec C, Whittington JD, Le Maho Y. 2013 Polar monitoring: seabirds as sentinels of marine ecosystems. In *Adaptation and evolution in marine environments from pole to pole* (eds C Verde, G di Prisco), pp. 205–230. Berlin, Germany: Springer Berlin Heidelberg (From Pole to Pole; vol. 2). See <http://www.springerlink.com/index/10.1007/978-3-642-27349-0>.
 42. Boersma PD *et al.* 2019 Applying science to pressing conservation needs for penguins. *Conserv. Biol.* **34**, 103–112. (doi:10.1111/cobi.13378)
 43. Orgeret F, Weimerskirch H, Bost CA. 2016 Early diving behaviour in juvenile penguins: improvement or selection processes. *Biol. Lett.* **12**, 20160490. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2016.0490)
 44. Stearns SC. 1992 *The evolution of life histories*, 249 p. London, UK: Oxford University Press.
 45. Manlik O. 2019 The importance of reproduction for the conservation of slow-growing animal populations, pp. 13–39. See http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-030-23633-5_2.
 46. Genovart M, Oro D, Tenan S. 2018 Immature survival, fertility, and density dependence drive global population dynamics in a long-lived species. *Ecology* **99**, 2823–2832. (doi:10.1002/ecy.2515)
 47. Mortensen JL, Reed JM. 2016 Population viability and vital rate sensitivity of an endangered avian cooperative breeder, the white-breasted thrasher (*Ramphocinclus brachyurus*). *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0148928. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0148928)
 48. Jenouvrier S *et al.* 2021 The call of the emperor penguin: legal responses to species threatened by climate change. *Glob. Change Biol.* **27**, 5008–5029. (doi:10.1111/gcb.15806)
 49. Abadi F, Barbraud C, Gimenez O. 2017 Integrated population modeling reveals the impact of climate on the survival of juvenile emperor penguins. *Glob. Change Biol.* **23**, 1353–1359. (doi:10.1111/gcb.13538)
 50. Gienapp P, Merilä J. 2018 Evolutionary responses to climate change. In *Encyclopedia of the Anthropocene* (eds DA Dellasala, MI Goldstein), pp. 51–59. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier.
 51. Brooks CM, Crowder LB, Österblom H, Strong AL. 2020 Reaching consensus for conserving the global commons: the case of the Ross Sea, Antarctica. *Conserv. Lett.* **13**, 1–10. (doi:10.1111/conl.12676)
 52. Hill SL, Phillips T, Atkinson A. 2013 Potential climate change effects on the habitat of Antarctic Krill in the Weddell quadrant of the Southern Ocean. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e72246. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072246)
 53. Brooks CM, Crowder LB, Curran LM, Dunbar RB, Ainley DG, Dodds KJ, Gjerde KM, Sumaila UR. 2016 Science-based management in decline in the Southern Ocean. *Science* **354**, 185–187. (doi:10.1126/science.aah4119)
 54. Orgeret F, Péron C, Enstipp MR, Delord K, Weimerskirch H, Bost CA. 2019 Exploration during early life: distribution, habitat and orientation preferences in juvenile king penguins. *Move. Ecol.* **7**, 29. (doi:10.1186/s40462-019-0175-3)
 55. Allan JC, Beazley KF, Metaxas A. 2021 Ecological criteria for designing effective MPA networks for large migratory pelagics: assessing the consistency between IUCN best practices and scholarly literature. *Mar. Policy* **127**, 104219. (doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2020.104219)
 56. Houstin A *et al.* 2022 Biologging of emperor penguins—attachment techniques and associated deployment performance. *PLOS ONE* **17**, e0265849. (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0265849>)
 57. Culik B, Wilson RP. 1991 Energetics of underwater swimming in Adélie penguins (*Pygoscelis adeliae*). *J. Comp. Physiol. B* **161**, 285–291. (doi:10.1007/BF00262310)
 58. Bannasch R, Wilson RP, Culik B. 1994 Hydrodynamic aspects of design and attachment of a back-mounted device in penguins. *J. Exp. Biol.* **194**, 83–96. (doi:10.1242/jeb.194.1.83)
 59. Wilson RP, Pütz K, Peters G, Culik BM, Sclaro JA, Charrassin JB, Ropert-Coudert Y. 1997 Long-term attachment of transmitting and recording devices to penguins and other seabirds. *Wildl. Soc. Bull.* **25**, 101–106.
 60. Pütz K, Trathan PN, Pedrana J, Collins MA, Poncet S, Lüthi B. 2014 Post-fledging dispersal of king penguins (*Aptenodytes patagonicus*) from two breeding sites in the South Atlantic. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e97164. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097164)
 61. Costa DP *et al.* 2010 Accuracy of ARGOS locations of pinnipeds at-sea estimated using Fastloc GPS. *PLoS ONE* **5**, e8677. (Cited 24 March 2020). (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008677)
 62. CLS, and . 2016 Argos User's manual. See <http://www.argos-system.org/manual/>.
 63. Freitas C, Lydersen C, Fedak MA, Kovacs KM. 2008 A simple new algorithm to filter marine mammal Argos locations. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* **24**, 315–325. (doi:10.1111/j.1748-7692.2007.00180.x)
 64. Wienecke B, Robertson G. 1997 Foraging space of emperor penguins *Aptenodytes forsteri* in Antarctic shelf waters in winter. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **159**, 249–263. (doi:10.3354/meps159249)
 65. Johnson DS, London JM, Lea MA, Durban JW. 2008 Continuous-time correlated random walk model for animal telemetry data. *Ecology* **89**, 1208–1215. (doi:10.1890/07-1032.1)
 66. Johnson DS, London JM. 2018 crawl: an R package for fitting continuous-time correlated random walk models to animal movement data. *Zenodo*. (doi:10.5281/zenodo.596464)
 67. Heerah K, Dias MP, Delord K, Oppel S, Barbraud C, Weimerskirch H, Bost CA. 2019 Important areas and conservation sites for a community of globally threatened marine predators of the Southern Indian Ocean. *Biol. Conserv.* **234**, 192–201. (doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2019.03.037)
 68. Moreira A, Santos MY. 2007 Concave hull: a k-nearest neighbours approach for the

- computation of the region occupied by a set of points. In *Proc. of the Second Int. Conf. on Computer Graphics Theory and Applications, Barcelona, Spain, 8-11 March 2007*, pp. 61–68. Setúbal, Portugal. See <http://www.scitepress.org/DigitalLibrary/Link.aspx?doi=10.5220/00020808000610068>.
69. Hijmans RJ, van Etten J. 2021 raster: Geographic analysis and modeling with raster data. See <http://cran.r-project.org/package=raster>.
 70. Ancel A, Cristofari R, Trathan PN, Gilbert C, Fretwell PT, Beaulieu M. 2017 . Looking for new emperor penguin colonies? Filling the gaps. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* **9**, 171–179. (doi:10.1016/j.gecco.2017.01.003)
 71. Orsi AH, Whitworth T, Nowlin WD. 1995 On the meridional extent and fronts of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Deep-Sea Res. Part I* **42**, 641–673. (doi:10.1016/0967-0637(95)00021-W)
 72. CCAMLR. 2019 CCAMLR GIS 2019. See <https://gis.ccamlr.org>.
 73. Amante C, Eakins BW. 2009 ETOPO1 1 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model: Procedures, Data Sources and Analysis. NOAA Technical Memorandum NESDIS NGDC-24. [cited 2020 Feb 24];19. See https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228077300_ETOP01_1_Arc-Minute_Global_Relief_Model_procedures_data_sources_and_analysis.
 74. Spreen G, Kaleschke L, Heygster G. 2008 Sea ice remote sensing using AMSR-E 89-GHz channels. *J. Geophys. Res.* **113**, C02S03. [cited 2020 Feb 24]. (doi:10.1029/2005JC003384)
 75. Cavalieri DJ. 1991 Aircraft active and passive microwave validation of sea ice concentration from the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program special sensor microwave imager. *J. Geophys. Res.* **96**(C12), 21 989–22 008. (doi:10.1029/91JC02335)
 76. Stammerjohn SE, Smith RC. 1997 Opposing Southern Ocean climate patterns as revealed by trends in regional sea ice coverage. *Clim. Change* **37**, 617–639. (doi:10.1023/A:1005331731034)
 77. Fetterer F, Knowles K, Meier W, Savoie M, Windnagel K. 2016 *Sea ice index, version 2, updated daily*. Boulder, CO: NSIDC: National Snow and Ice Data Center. See <https://nsidc.org/data/g02135>.
 78. Matsuoka K *et al.* 2021 Quantarctica, an integrated mapping environment for Antarctica, the Southern Ocean, and sub-Antarctic islands. *Environ. Model. Softw.* **140**, 105015. (doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2021.105015)
 79. Marine Conservation Institute. 2020 MPAtlas On-line. See <https://mpatlas.org/>.
 80. R Core Team. 2018 *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. See <https://www.r-project.org/>.
 81. QGIS Association. 2020 QGIS geographic information system. See <http://qgis.org/>.
 82. Houstin A, Zitterbart DP, Heerah K, Eisen O, Planas-Bielsa V, Fabry B, Le Bohec C. 2022. Data from: Juvenile emperor penguin range calls for extended conservation measures in the Southern Ocean. Movebank Data Repository. (doi:10.5441/001/1.sg2s0f7q)
 83. Houstin A, Zitterbart DP, Heerah K, Eisen O, Planas-Bielsa V, Fabry B, Le Bohec C. 2022 Supplementary material from: Juvenile emperor penguin range calls for extended conservation measures in the Southern Ocean. Figshare. (doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.c.6158509)
 84. Wesche C, Weller R, König-Langlo G, Fromm T, Eckstaller A, Nixdorf U, Kohlberg E. 2016 Neumayer III and Kohnen Station in Antarctica operated by the Alfred Wegener Institute. *Journal of large-scale research facilities*. (doi:10.17815/jlsrf-2-152)